

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD
California Corporations Commissioner
2 WAYNE STRUMPFER
Deputy Commissioner
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)
Lead Corporations Counsel
4 JOAN E. KERST (CA BAR NO. 123351)
Senior Corporations Counsel
5 DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS
71 Stevenson, Suite 2100
6 San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: (415) 972-8547
7 Attorneys for Respondent

ENDORSED
JAN - 3 2007
By C. Lewis, Deputy

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

10 In the Matter of)
11) CASE NO. 06CS01309
12)
13)
14)
15)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

NATIONWIDE ASSET SERVICES, INC.,
a.k.a. NATIONWIDE ASSET SERVICES, and
UNIVERSAL NATIONWIDE, L.L.C., d.b.a.
UNIVERSAL DEBT REDUCTION,
Petitioners,
v.
THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS
COMMISSIONER,
Respondent.

(PROPOSED) COURT JUDGMENT
DENYING THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF
ADMINISTRATIVE MANDAMUS
Hearing Date: December 1, 2006
Hearing Time: 1:30
Dept.: 11
Judge: Honorable Gail D. Ohanesian
Petition filed September 1, 2006

20 The Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus came on regularly for hearing before the
21 Honorable Gail D. Ohanesian in Department 11 of this Court on December 1, 2006. Michael
22 Mallow, Esq., appeared on behalf of all the Petitioners. Joan E. Kerst, Esq., appeared on behalf of
23 the Respondent, Preston DuFauchard, California Corporations Commissioner. The record of the
24 proceeding before the Administrative Law Judge and the administrative record was not lodged with
25 the Court.

26 The Court after having read and considered the supporting and opposing points and
27 authorities, declarations and exhibits and having considered the arguments of counsel rules as
28 follows:

1 THE COURT RULES THAT Petitioners' Request for Judicial Notice is denied except as to Item
2 142. Respondent's objection to proceeding without a certified administrative record is overruled.
3 Respondent's objection to the lack of proper service is overruled in that Respondent has generally
4 appeared in this case.

5 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
6 THAT:

- 7 1. The facts, as found by Respondent in the Decision, bring Petitioners within the statutory
- 8 definition of prorater. The court finds that the Decision is supported by the findings.
- 9 2. In addition, in light of the absence of a proper administrative record, Petitioners have
- 10 either abandoned their additional claims or failed to establish that Respondent proceeded
- 11 in excess of jurisdiction or their due process rights were violated.
- 12 3. In light of the forgoing, it is unnecessary for the court to rule on Respondent's additional
- 13 contentions that the Petition is defective.
- 14 4. The Petition for Writ of Mandamus is denied.
- 15 5. Judgment is entered for Respondent, the California Corporations Commissioner, and
- 16 against Petitioners Nationwide Asset Services, Inc., also known as Nationwide Asset
- 17 Services, and Universal Nationwide, L.L.C., doing business as Universal Debt Reduction.
- 18 6. Respondent shall recover his costs in the sum of \$ _____, including those
- 19 recoverable pursuant to Government Code section 6103.5.

20 *01/03/06*
21 DATED: ~~December~~ _____, 2006

GAIL D. OHANESIAN

GAIL D. OHANESIAN
Judge of the Superior Court